RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

In the United States Patent and Trademark Office

MAY 0 7 2008

Applicants:

Paul T. Van Gompel et al.

Docket No.: 1

19,577

Serial No.:

10/750,402

T.C./A.U.:

3761

Confirmation No.:

8997

Examiner:

Chapman, Ginger T

Filed:

December 31, 2003

Date:

May 7, 2008

For:

DUAL-LAYERED DISPOSABLE GARMENT

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that the attached correspondence comprising:

REPLY BRIEF (5 pages)

6 total pages, including this page

is being transmitted on May 7, 2008 to the United States Patent and Trademark Office via facsimile addressed to Commissioner for Patents, Fax No. (571) 273-8300.

Judith M. Anderson

(Typed name of person transmitting correspondence)

(Signature of person transmitting correspondence)

In the United States Patent and Trademark Office

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

Appellants:

Paul T. Van Gompel et al.

Docket No.:

19,577

MAY 0 7 2008

Serial No.:

10/750,402

Group:

3761

Confirmation

8997

Examiner:

Chapman, Ginger T

Filed:

December 31, 2003

Date:

May 7, 2008

For:

DUAL-LAYERED DISPOSABLE GARMENT

Reply Brief

This reply brief is submitted pursuant to 37 C.F.R. 41.41 and in response to the Examiner's Answer dated March 12, 2008.

K-C Docket No. 19577 Serial No. 10/750,402 RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER MAY 0 7 2008

05-07-2008

Status of Claims

Claims 34, 38, 39, 43-45 and 48-51 remain in the application with claims 34, 38, 39, 43-45 and 48-51 being finally rejected. Claims 1-33, 35-37, 40-42, and 46-47 were previously canceled. Claims 34, 38, 39, 43-45, and 48-51 are being appealed and are listed in the Claims Appendix of the Appeal Brief filed October 18, 2007.

4/6

K-C Docket No. 19577 Serial No. 10/750,402

MAY 07 2008

Grounds of Rejection to be Reviewed on Appeal

Ground 1

Claims 34, 38-39, 43-45 and 48-51 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being obvious and thus unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,193,701 to Van Gompel et al. (hereinafter "Van Gompel") issued February 27, 2001 in view of U.S. Patent Publication No. 2002/0072726 to Mishima et al. (hereinafter "Mishima").

K-C Docket No. 19577 Serial No. 10/750,402

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER MAY 0 7 2008

Argument

Ground 1

Claims 34, 38-39, 43-45, and 48-51 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being obvious and thus unpatentable over Van Gompel in view of Mishima. The Appellants respectfully submit that the Examiner's rejection is improper and should be reversed with respect to claims 34, 38-39, 43-45, and 48-51 as presented in the Brief on Appeal filed October 18, 2007.

Additionally, Appellants respectfully disagree with the assertion quoted below and presented on page 9 of the Examiner's Answer dated March 12, 2008.

The examiner is <u>not modifying</u> the liner of Van Gompel, the examiner is <u>adding</u> the inner layer defining an opening as taught by Mishima to the garment of Van Gompel, such that the opening defined by the inner layer of Mishima is located adjacent the skin-facing side of the liner of the absorbent assembly of Van Gompel. (emphasis added).

However, Appellants note that in both the final office action (page 3) and in the Examiner's Answer (page 4) the following assertion was made.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the inner layer of Van Gompel defining an opening as taught by Mishima.... (emphasis added).

It is evident, therefore, that the Examiner proposed <u>modifying</u> the inner layer of Van Gompel *not* adding an additional layer as is now asserted. Thus, no *prima facie* case of obviousness has been established for at least this reason.

05-07-2008

K-C Docket No. 19577 Serial No. 10/750,402

Kimberly Clark

RECEIVED **CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

MAY 0 7 2008

Conclusion

Therefore, in addition to the reasons set forth in Appellants' Appeal Brief, the rejections of the claims on appeal are submitted to be in error for the additional reason set forth above and should be reversed by the Board. Appellants do not believe that any fee is due. However, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any deficiency or overpayment of any fees to Deposit Account No. 11-0875. The undersigned may be reached at 920-721-3016.

Respectfully submitted,

PAUL T. VAN GOMPEL ET AL.

By:

David J. Arteman, Attorney for Appellants Registration No. 44,512